

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,908	07/24/2003	Axel Von Bergen	13909-119001 / 2003P00132	1208
10/625,908 07/24/2003 32864 7590 05/24/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C. PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022		BRADLEY, MATTHEW A		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2187	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/625,908	BERGEN ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew Bradley	2187				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Matthew Bradley</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Babak Akhlaghi</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 17 May 2007.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)⊡ applicant's representative	<u> </u> 				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,3,5-11,13,15-21,23 and 24</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>During the context of the Applicant initiated interview, the Examiner and Applicant discussed issues outstanding in the case. The Examiner and Applicant discussed the 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd rejection as well as the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection. The Applicant discussed a proposed amendment to be made. The Examiner encouraged Applicant to submit the amendment formally such that proper consideration could be given. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims</u>						
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
Britan R. Pought Primbry Hxaminer						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required				